



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,169	06/09/2005	Takeaki Sasaki	1830,1005	1709
21171	7590	12/03/2008		
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			EXAMINER	
SUITE 700			BELL, BRUCE F	
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.				
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/538,169	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SASAKI ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Bruce F. Bell	<b>Art Unit</b> 1795

**All Participants:** **Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Bruce F. Bell. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Mark Henry. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 25 November 2008

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*none*

**Claims discussed:**

*11, 28 and 34*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*Discussed the prior art of Nara et al in combination with Fung et al*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Bruce F. Bell/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed that Nara et al teaches the catalyst as instantly claimed on a carbon substrate and that Fung et al discloses that it is known to use a catalyst powder carrier with electrocatalyst. An offer was made to incorporate the limitation of claim 28 into claim 11 to put the application in condition for allowance to which the appellant and his applicants declined. Claim 34 was discussed with respect to the claim having a 35 USC 112 - second paragraph problem. The substance of this 112 issue and the rejection of claim 11 as well as others depending on it are shown in the office action accompanying this interview summary..